

Translation Invariance of Investment

Yukio Hirashita

*Faculty of Liberal Arts, Chukyo University
Nagoya, 466-8666, Japan
yukioh@cnc.chukyo-u.ac.jp*

For a game with positive profit, the optimal proportion of investment required to continue investing without borrowing is uniquely determined by an integral equation for each price. For a game with parallel translated profit, the ratio of the optimal proportion of investment to its price has some invariance properties. The optimal price of a game with parallel translated profit converges to its expectation divided by e to the riskless interest rate for a certain period.

Keywords: Proportion of investment; Parallel translated profit; Integral equation.

Mathematics Subject Classification 2000: 91B28, 45G15, 44A15

1. Introduction

A game $(a(x), F(x))$ would imply that if the investor invests 1 dollar, then he or she receives $a(x)$ dollars (including the 1 dollar initially invested) in accordance with a distribution function $F(x)$ that is defined on an interval $I \subseteq (-\infty, \infty)$ such that $\int_I d(F(x)) = 1$. For each positive price, in order to continue investing without borrowing, the optimal proportion of investment must be in $(0, 1]$ (see [4,5,6,7]). In Section 2, we will investigate a game with parallel translated profit $(a(x) + n, F(x))$.

For simplicity, we will omit the currency notation. It is assumed that the profit function $a(x)$ is measurable and non constant (a.e.) with respect to $F(x)$. In the absence of confusion, we use dF to represent $d(F(x))$ and employ the following notation: $E := \int_I a(x)dF$, $H := \int_I 1/a(x)dF$, $\xi := \text{ess inf}_{x \in I} a(x)$, $H_\xi := \int_I 1/(a(x) - \xi)dF$. In this paper, we always assume that $\xi > 0$. If $\int_{a(x)=\xi} dF > 0$, we define $H_\xi = \infty$ and $1/H_\xi = 0$. Since $a(x)$ is non-constant, we have $\xi \leq \xi + 1/H_\xi < 1/H < E$ (see [4, Section 2 and Lemma 3.5]).

For each $u \in (\xi + 1/H_\xi, E)$, the pre-optimal proportion $\tilde{t}_u \in (0, u/(u - \xi))$ is uniquely determined by the equation $\int_I (a(x) - u)/(a(x)\tilde{t}_u - u\tilde{t}_u + u)dF = 0$ (see [4, Section 3]). $\tilde{t}_u$ has the following properties: (1) $\tilde{t}_u$ is strictly decreasing ([4, Lemma 3.6]); (2) $\lim_{u \rightarrow E^-} \tilde{t}_u = 0$ ([4, Lemma 3.7]); (3) $\tilde{t}_{1/H} = 1$ ([4, Lemma 3.8]); and (4) $\lim_{u \rightarrow (\xi + 1/H_\xi)^+} \tilde{t}_u = 1 + \xi H_\xi$ ([4, Lemma 3.9]).

For each $u \in (\xi + 1/H_\xi, E)$ and $t \in (0, u/(u - \xi))$, the pre-growth rate $\tilde{G}_u(t)$ is defined by $\exp(\int_I \log(a(x)t/u - t + 1)dF)$ (see [4, Section 4]). We always assume that $\tilde{G} < \infty$, which implies that $\int_{a(x)>1} \log a(x)dF < +\infty$ (see [4, Lemma 4.3]). $\tilde{G}_u(\tilde{t}_u)$ has the following properties: (1) $\tilde{G}_u(\tilde{t}_u)$ is continuous and strictly decreasing ([4, Lemma 4.9 and Theorem 4.1]); (2) $\lim_{u \rightarrow E^-} \tilde{G}_u(\tilde{t}_u) = 1$ ([4, Lemma 4.13]); (3) $\tilde{G}_{1/H}(\tilde{t}_{1/H}) = H \exp(\int_I \log a(x)dF)$ ([4, Lemma 4.21]); and (4) $\lim_{u \rightarrow (\xi + 1/H_\xi)^+} \tilde{G}_u(\tilde{t}_u) = H_\xi \exp(\int_I \log(a(x) - \xi)dF)$ ([4, Lemmas 3.9 and 4.20]).

We cite the following lemmas (for the proofs see [4, Section 5]):

Lemma 1.1 [4, Corollary 5.1]. *For each $u \in (1/H, E)$, the optimal proportion of investment is equal to $\tilde{t}_u$, and the maximized limit expectation of the growth rate is equal to $\tilde{G}_u(\tilde{t}_u)$.*

Lemma 1.2 [4, Corollary 5.3]. *For each $u \in (0, 1/H]$, the optimal proportion of investment is equal to 1, and the maximized limit expectation of the growth rate is equal to $\exp(\int_I \log a(x) dF)/u$.*

If the riskless continuously compounded interest rate $r > 0$ for a period is given, the optimal price of a game can be uniquely determined by the equation such that the maximized limit expectation of the growth rate is equal to e^r (see [4, Section 6]).

2. Parallel translated profit

For a game with parallel translated profit $(a(x) + n, F(x))$ ($n > -\xi$), we use underlined notations such as $\underline{a}(x) = a(x) + n$, $\underline{E} = E + n$, $\underline{H} = \int_I 1/\underline{a}(x) dF$, $\underline{\xi} = \xi + n$ and $\underline{H}_{\underline{\xi}} = \int_I 1/(\underline{a}(x) - \underline{\xi}) dF$.

Example 1. Suppose that the profit a or b ($0 < b < a$) occurs with the probability p or $1 - p$ ($0 < p < 1$), respectively. From

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_I \frac{(a(x) + n) - (u + n)}{(a(x) + n)\tilde{\underline{t}}_{u+n} - (u + n)\tilde{\underline{t}}_{u+n} + u + n} dF \\ &= \frac{a - u}{(a - u)\tilde{\underline{t}}_{u+n} + u + n} p + \frac{b - u}{(b - u)\tilde{\underline{t}}_{u+n} + u + n} (1 - p) = 0, \end{aligned} \quad (2.1)$$

we have $\tilde{\underline{t}}_{u+n} = (E - u)(n + u)/((a - u)(u - b))$ ($b < u < E < a$, $-b < n$). Thus, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\underline{G}}_{u+n}(\tilde{\underline{t}}_{u+n}) &= \exp \left(\int_I \log \left(\frac{(a(x) + n)\tilde{\underline{t}}_{u+n}}{u + n} - \tilde{\underline{t}}_{u+n} + 1 \right) dF \right) \\ &= (a - b) \left(\frac{p}{u - b} \right)^p \left(\frac{1 - p}{a - u} \right)^{1-p}, \end{aligned} \quad (2.2)$$

which is independent of n .

Lemma 2.1. $\tilde{G} < +\infty$, if and only if $\underline{\tilde{G}} < +\infty$.

Proof. If $\tilde{G} < +\infty$, then from $\int_{a(x)+n>1} \log(a(x)+n) dF = \int_{1-n< a(x) \leq |n|+1} \log(a(x)+n) dF + \int_{a(x)>|n|+1} \log(a(x)+n) dF \leq \log(2|n|+1) + \log 2 + \int_{a(x)>1} \log a(x) dF$, we have $\underline{\tilde{G}} < +\infty$. The remaining can be verified in a similar manner. $\square$

Theorem 2.2. $\tilde{\underline{t}}_{u+n}/(u+n) = \tilde{t}_u/u$ ($u \in (\xi + 1/H_\xi, E)$) is independent of $n > -\xi$.

Proof. By definition, we have $\int_I (a(x) - u) / ((a(x) - u)\tilde{\underline{t}}_{u+n} + u + n) dF = 0$, which is equivalent to $\int_I (u + n) / ((a(x) - u)\tilde{\underline{t}}_{u+n} + u + n) dF = 1$. By differentiating them, we obtain

$$\frac{\partial \tilde{\underline{t}}_{u+n}}{\partial n} = - \frac{\int_I \frac{a(x) - u}{((a(x) - u)\tilde{\underline{t}}_{u+n} + u + n)^2} dF}{\int_I \frac{(a(x) - u)^2}{((a(x) - u)\tilde{\underline{t}}_{u+n} + u + n)^2} dF}, \quad (2.3)$$

$$(\tilde{\underline{t}}_{u+n} - (u + n) \frac{\partial \tilde{\underline{t}}_{u+n}}{\partial n}) \int_I \frac{a(x) - u}{((a(x) - u)\tilde{\underline{t}}_{u+n} + u + n)^2} dF = 0. \quad (2.4)$$

Since these functions are analytic with respect to u (see [4, Lemma 3.1] and [8, Chapter VIII]), we have $\partial \tilde{\underline{t}}_{u+n} / \partial n - \tilde{\underline{t}}_{u+n} / (u + n) = 0$ or $\partial \tilde{\underline{t}}_{u+n} / \partial n = 0$ as a constant function. If $\partial \tilde{\underline{t}}_{u+n} / \partial n = \tilde{\underline{t}}_{u+n} / (u + n)$, then $\partial (\tilde{\underline{t}}_{u+n} / (u + n)) / \partial n = ((u + n) \partial \tilde{\underline{t}}_{u+n} / \partial n - \tilde{\underline{t}}_{u+n}) / (u + n)^2 = 0$, which implies $\tilde{\underline{t}}_{u+n} / (u + n) = \tilde{\underline{t}}_u / u$. If $\partial \tilde{\underline{t}}_{u+n} / \partial n = 0$, then $\tilde{\underline{t}}_{u+n} = \tilde{\underline{t}}_u$, particularly, $\tilde{\underline{t}}_{1/H+n} = \tilde{\underline{t}}_{1/H}$. Since $\tilde{\underline{t}}_{1/H+n} = \tilde{\underline{t}}_{1/H} = 1 = \underline{t}_{1/H}$ and $\tilde{\underline{t}}_{u+n}$ is strictly decreasing with respect to u , we have $1/\underline{H} = 1/H + n$, which contradicts the fact that $1/\underline{H} - n$ is strictly increasing with respect to n (see [5, the proof of Lemma 1.1]). $\square$

Theorem 2.3. $\tilde{\underline{G}}_{u+n}(\tilde{\underline{t}}_{u+n}) = \tilde{\underline{G}}_u(\tilde{\underline{t}}_u)$ ($u \in (\xi + 1/H_\xi, E)$) is independent of $n > -\xi$.

Proof. By differentiating $\tilde{\underline{G}}_{u+n}(\tilde{\underline{t}}_{u+n})$, we have

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial n} \tilde{\underline{G}}_{u+n}(\tilde{\underline{t}}_{u+n}) = \left(\frac{\partial \tilde{\underline{t}}_{u+n}}{\partial n} - \frac{\tilde{\underline{t}}_{u+n}}{u + n} \right) \tilde{\underline{G}}_{u+n}(\tilde{\underline{t}}_{u+n}) \int_I \frac{a(x) - u}{(a(x) - u)\tilde{\underline{t}}_{u+n} + u + n} dF. \quad (2.5)$$

Therefore, the conclusion is obtained from the proof of Theorem 2.2. $\square$

It should be noted that the difference $\underline{E} - (\underline{\xi} + 1/\underline{H}_\xi) = E - (\xi + 1/H_\xi)$ is independent of n .

Lemma 2.4. If $E < \infty$, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\underline{E} - 1/\underline{H}) = 0$.

Proof. By definition, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \underline{E} - 1/\underline{H} &= E + n - \frac{1}{\int_I \frac{1}{a(x) + n} dF} \\ &= E - \left(\frac{1}{\int_I \frac{1}{a(x) + n} dF} - n \right) \quad (n > -\xi). \end{aligned} \quad (2.6)$$

Thus, using [5, Lemma 1.1], we derive the conclusion. $\square$

Lemma 2.5. $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \tilde{\underline{G}}_{1/H}(\tilde{\underline{t}}_{1/H}) = 1$.

Proof. The conclusion is derived from the fact that $\tilde{\underline{G}}_{1/H}(\tilde{\underline{t}}_{1/H}) = \underline{H} \exp(\int_I \log(a(x) + n) dF)$, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n \underline{H} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_I n / (a(x) + n) dF = 1$, and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\exp(\int_I \log(a(x) + n) dF)}{n} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \exp\left(\int_I \log\left(\frac{a(x)}{n} + 1\right) dF\right) = 1. \quad (2.7)$$

□

Let $r > 0$ be the riskless continuously compounded interest rate such that $e^r < \tilde{G}_{1/H}(1)$. The optimal price $u_r \in (1/H, E)$ of a game $(a(x), F(x))$ is then uniquely determined by the equation $e^r = \tilde{G}_{u_r}(\tilde{t}_{u_r})$ (see Lemma 1.1 and [4, Section 6]).

Theorem 2.6. $\underline{u}_r = u_r + n$, if $e^r < \min(\tilde{G}_{1/H}(1), \tilde{G}_{1/H}(1))$ and $n > -\xi$.

Proof. By definition we have $\tilde{G}_{u_r}(\tilde{t}_{u_r}) = \tilde{G}_{\underline{u}_r}(\tilde{t}_{\underline{u}_r}) = e^r$. From Theorem 2.3, we observe that $\tilde{G}_{u_r+n}(\tilde{t}_{u_r+n}) = \tilde{G}_{u_r}(\tilde{t}_{u_r})$. Based on the uniqueness of price $\underline{u}_r$, we obtain $\underline{u}_r = u_r + n$. □

Theorem 2.7. If $E < \infty$, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \underline{u}_r / \underline{E} = 1/e^r$.

Proof. From $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \tilde{G}_{1/H}(\tilde{t}_{1/H}) = 1$ (Lemma 2.5), for each sufficiently large n , we have $e^r > \tilde{G}_{1/H}(1)$. In this case, the price $\underline{u}_r < 1/H$ is uniquely determined by the equation $e^r = \exp(\int_I \log(a(x) + n) dF) / \underline{u}_r$ (see Lemma 1.2 and [4, Section 6]). Thus, we obtain

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\underline{u}_r}{\underline{E}} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\exp \left(\int_I \log \left(\frac{a(x)}{n} + 1 \right) dF \right) \times \frac{n}{E + n} \right) \frac{1}{e^r} = \frac{1}{e^r}. \quad \square$$

Example 2. Suppose that the profit 1 or 19 occurs with each probability $1/2$. Then, using Example 1, we have $E = 10$, $H = 10/19$, $\xi = 1$, $H_\xi = \infty$, $\underline{E} = 10 + n$, $\underline{H} = (n + 10)/((n + 1)(n + 19))$, $\tilde{G}_{u+n}(\tilde{t}_{u+n}) = 9/\sqrt{(u - 1)(19 - u)}$, $\tilde{G}_{1/H}(\tilde{t}_{1/H}) = (n + 10)/\sqrt{(n + 1)(n + 19)}$, $n > -1$, and $1 < u < 10$.

Let the riskless continuously compounded interest rate r be 0.05. Then, as $e^r \doteq 1.051 < \tilde{G}_{1/H}(1) \doteq 2.294$, the equation $e^r = 9/\sqrt{(u - 1)(19 - u)}$ deduces the optimal price $u_r = 10 - 9\sqrt{1 - e^{-2r}} \doteq 7.224$, which is considerably different from $E/e^r \doteq 9.512$.

By the equality $e^r = (n_0 + 10)/\sqrt{(n_0 + 1)(n_0 + 19)}$, we have $n_0 = 9e^r/\sqrt{e^{2r} - 1} - 10 \doteq 19.175$. Therefore, if $-1 < n < 19.175$, then $\underline{u}_r \doteq 7.224 + n$ (Theorem 2.6).

In the case where $n = 99 > 19.175$, the optimal price $\underline{u}_r$ is determined by $e^r = \exp(\int_I \log(a(x) + n) dF) / \underline{u}_r$. Thus, $\underline{u}_r = \sqrt{(n + 1)(n + 19)}/e^r \doteq 103.330$, which is considerably close to $\underline{E}/e^r \doteq 103.684$, as suggested by Theorem 2.7.

References

- [1] N. H. Bingham, C. M. Goldie, J. L. Teugels, *Regular variation*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1987.
- [2] F. Black, M. Scholes. *The pricing of options and corporate liabilities*. Journal of Political Economy 81(1973), 637-54.
- [3] W. Feller, *An introduction to probability theory and its application*, vol. I, II, John Wiley and Sons, New York, 1957, 1966.
- [4] Y. Hirashita, *Game pricing and double sequence of random variables*, Preprint, arXiv:math.OC/0703076 (2007).
- [5] Y. Hirashita, *Ratio of price to expectation and complete Bernstein function*, Preprint, arXiv:math.OC/0703077 (2007).

- [6] J. L. Kelly, *A new interpretation of information rate*, Bell System Tech. J. 35 (1956) 917–926.
- [7] D. G. Luenberger, *Investment science*, Oxford University Press, Oxford, 1998.
- [8] D. V. Widder, *The Laplace Transform*, Princeton University Press, Princeton, 1941.